arXiv:1608.03329v1 [math.GT] 11 Aug 2016

ON THE CLASSIFICATION OF IRREGULAR DIHEDRAL BRANCHED COVERS OF TOPOLOGICAL FOUR-MANIFOLDS

ALEXANDRA KJUCHUKOVA

Abstract. We prove a necessary condition for a simply-connected topological four-manifold Y to be homeomorphic to a p-fold irregular dihedral branched cover of a given four-manifold X, with a given branching set $B \subset X$. The branching sets considered are closed oriented surfaces embedded in the base with isolated cone singularities. The necessary condition obtained is on the rank and signature of the intersection form of Y and is given in terms of the rank and signature of the intersection form of X, the self-intersection number of B in X and classical-type invariants of the singularities.

Secondly, we show that, for two-bridge slice singularities, the necessary condition is sharp. That is, given an oriented surface B embedded locally flatly in X and representing an even class in homology, and given any two-bridge slice knot α, we construct a p-fold irregular cover of X branched along a surface homeomorphic to B and embedded in X with a singularity of type α. We use this construction to show that any value of the signature allowed by the necessary condition with a slice singularity is in fact realized by a dihedral cover $f : Y \to X$. With an additional assumption on the embedding of B in X, any signature and rank admitted by the necessary condition are realized simultaneously.

In the case of odd indefinite four-manifolds X and Y, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a topological manifold Y to be homeomorphic to an irregular dihedral p-fold cover of X branched over an oriented surface with a two-bridge slice singularity.

Acknowledgement

The author is deeply indebted to her PhD advisor, Julius Shaneson, for his support, encouragement and insight.

1. Introduction

The classification of all branched covers over a given base is a subject dating back to Alexander, who proved that every closed orientable PL n-manifold is a PL branched cover of S^n [2]. Alexander's branching sets are immersed PL submanifolds of the sphere; he concludes little else about them. Since 1920, the natural question of how complicated the branching set needs to be, and how many sheets are needed, in order to realize all manifolds in a given dimension as branched covers of the sphere, has received much interest. It is a famous theorem in dimension 3 that three-fold dihedral covers branched along knots suffice [15], [20]. The question is considerably more subtle in dimension four, where PL results do not necessarily hold in the topological setting. In the PL category, Piergallini and Iori, among others, have studied the minimum degree of a cover needed to realize all closed

Date: Sept 19, 2015.

oriented four-manifolds as covers of the sphere. The branching sets they consider are either immersed PL submanifolds with transverse self-intersections or embedded and non-singular PL surfaces. Piergallini proved in [27] that every closed oriented PL four-manifold is a four-fold cover of S^4 branched over a over a transversally immersed PL surface. He and Iori later refined this result to show in [16] that singularities can be removed by stabilizing to a five-fold cover. In light of these universal realization theorems, one might wish for equally general methods for obtaining explicit descriptions of the branching set needed to realize a particular PL four-manifold as a five-fold cover of S^4. It would also be of interest to better understand the trade-off between simplifying the branching set and increasing the degree of the cover. Most recently, Piergallini and Zuddas [28] showed that closed oriented *topological* four-manifolds are also five-fold covers of the sphere, if one allows for "wild" branching sets with potentially very pathological topology near isolated points. Still, the complexity of the branching sets near the wild points retains an air of mystery.

We assume a complementary approach. We work in the topological category, and a main point of interest for us is to describe all possible covers over a given base X *in terms of the branching set* and its embedding into the base. As seen from the main theorem of [31], if Y is a cover of S^4 branched over a closed oriented non-singular embedded surface, then the signature of the Y must be zero. Thus, for example, the existing results on five-fold covers of the sphere implicitly make use of non-oriented branching sets. In contrast, we investigate the generality of covers whose branching sets are oriented surfaces, embedded in the base and locally flat except for finitely many cone singularities. We work with irregular dihedral covers (Definition 1.1), which constitute the most direct generalization of the three-dimensional results of Hilden and Montesinos as well as four-dimensional results of Montesions [21]. Dihedral covers are also the "simplest" three-fold covers which give interesting examples with non-locally-flat branching sets (see Remark 2.2).

Our results are not restricted to branched covers of the sphere but apply to any closed oriented four-manifold base. Given an irregular dihedral branched cover $f : Y \to X$ between two simply-connected oriented four-manifolds X and Y, we relate the intersection forms of X and Y via f. Singularities for us play a central role, and we compute the signature of the branched cover in terms of data about the singularity.

We begin by defining the type of covers and singularities considered.

Definition 1.1. Let $f : Y \to X$ be branched cover with branching set $B \subset X$. If the unbranched cover $f_{|f^{-1}(X-B)}$ corresponds to $\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ for some surjective homomorphism $\phi : \pi_1(X - B, x_0) \to D_p$, we say that f is an *irregular dihedral* branched cover of X.

In particular, the existence of a dihedral cover over a pair (X, B) is a condition on the fundamental group of the complement of B in X. When a dihedral cover over the pair (S^3, α) exists for some knot α, we say simply that α admits a dihedral cover.

It is helpful to give a description of the pre-images of a point on the branching set B of an irregular dihedral cover $f : Y \to X$. The covering space Y is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ quotient of the $2p$-fold *regular* dihedral cover Z corresponding to the kernel of the homomorphism ϕ in Definition 1.1. For every locally flat point $b \in B$ the pre-image $f^{-1}(D_b)$ of a small neighborhood D_b of b in X contains $\frac{p-1}{2}$ components of

branching index 2 and one component of branching index 1. The index 1 component is the fixed set of the involution $Z \to Y$.

Definition 1.2. Let X be a topological four-manifold and let B be a closed surface embedded in X. Let $\alpha \subset S^3$ be a non-trivial knot. For a given point $z \in B$, assume there exist a small open disk D_z about z in X such that there is a homeomorphism of pairs $(D_z - z, B - z) \cong (S^3 \times (0,1), \alpha \times (0,1))$. We say that the embedding of B in X has a singularity *of type* α at z.

The presence of a singularity α on the branching set results in a "defect", or correction term, to the signature of the covering manifold. While this defect depends only on α, it is computed with the help of an associated knot to α, defined below.

Definition 1.3. Let $\alpha \subset S^3$ and $\beta \subset S^3$ be two knot types. We say that β is a *mod p characteristic knot* for α if there exists a Seifert surface V for α with Seifert form L such that $\beta \subset V^\circ \subset S^3$ represents a non-zero primitive class in $H_1(V;\mathbb{Z})$ and $L_V(\beta,\omega) := L(\beta,\omega) + L(\omega,\beta) \equiv 0 \mod p$ for all $\omega \in H_1(V;\mathbb{Z})$.

In [7] Cappell and Shaneson defined characteristic knots and proved that for p a positive odd square-free integer and α a non-trivial knot, α admits an irregular dihedral p-fold cover if an only if there exists a knot β which is a mod p characteristic knot for α. Furthermore, they gave an explicit construction of a cobordism, here denoted $W(\alpha,\beta)$, between a dihedral p-fold branched cover of α and a cyclic p-fold branched cover of β. We recall this construction as needed in the proof of Proposition 2.5.

Throughout this article we adopt the following notation. Let χ denote the Euler characteristic and σ the signature of a manifold, and let e be the self-intersection number of a closed oriented embedded submanifold. Given a positive odd integer p and a knot α in S^3 which admits an irregular dihedral p-fold cover, denote by V a Seifert surface for α with symmetrized Seifert pairing $L_V = L + L^T$ (as in Definition 1.3). Let $\beta \subset V^\circ$ be a mod p characteristic knot for α. Finally, denote by σ_{ζ^i} the Tristram-Levine ζ^i-signature [30], where ζ is a primitive p-th root of unity.

Our first theorem is a necessary condition for the existence of a p-fold irregular dihedral cover $f : Y \to X$ between two manifolds X and Y, with a specified submanifold $B \subset X$ as its branching set.

Theorem 1.4 (Necessary Condition)**.** *Let X and Y be closed oriented four-manifolds and let p be an odd prime. Let $B \subset X$ be a closed connected and oriented surface embedded in X. Assume that $B \subset X$ is topologically locally flat except for an isolated singularity of type α. If an irregular p-fold dihedral cover $f : Y \to X$ branched along B exists, then α admits an irregular dihedral p-fold and this cover is the three-sphere. Furthermore, the following formulas hold:*

$$\sigma(Y) = p\sigma(X) - \frac{p-1}{2}e(B) - \frac{p^2-1}{6p}L_V(\beta,\beta) - \sigma(W(\alpha,\beta)) - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1}\sigma_{\zeta^i}(\beta), \tag{1.1}$$

$$\chi(Y) = p\chi(X) - \frac{p-1}{2}\chi(B) - \frac{p-1}{2}. \tag{1.2}$$

It is straightforward to compute $L_V(\beta,\beta)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}\sigma_{\zeta^i}(\beta)$ from diagrams of α and β. A less obvious but essential feature of this theorem is the fact that the term $\sigma(W(\alpha,\beta))$ can be expressed in terms of linking numbers of curves in a dihedral branched cover of α (see Proposition 2.5), and a combinatorial procedure for computing $\sigma(W(\alpha,\beta))$ from a diagram of α is developed (see Appendix B) using methods of Perko [24].

Secondly, it is clear from the definition of a characteristic knot that β is not uniquely determined by α. While each of the terms $\frac{p^2-1}{6p}L_V(\beta,\beta)$, $\sigma(W(\alpha,\beta))$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}\sigma_{\zeta^i}(\beta)$ depends on β, we show in Proposition 2.7 that their sum is an invariant of α[1].

In the case where the manifolds are simply-connected, Equation 1.2 is equivalent to determining the rank of the intersection form Y, which is where the usefulness of this (very easy to obtain) equation lies. Lastly, we note that Theorem 1.4 generalizes in the obvious way to the situation where the branching set admits multiple cone singularities, the signature of the cover picking up a defect for each singularity present.

Our next theorem is a partial converse of Theorem 1.4 in the case of a two-bridge slice singularity.

Theorem 1.5 (Sufficient Condition)**.** *Let X be a simply-connected closed oriented four-manifold. Let $B \subset X$ be an oriented surface embedded topologically locally flatly in X and such that $\pi_1(X-B,x_0)\cong\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Let p be an odd prime, and let α be a two-bridge slice knot which admits a p-fold dihedral cover. If σ and χ are two integers which satisfy Equations 1.2 and 1.1, respectively, with respect to X, B and α, then there exists a simply-connected four-manifold Y such that $\sigma(Y)=\sigma$, $\chi(Y)=\chi$ and Y is homeomorphic to an irregular dihedral p-fold cover of X.*

The branching set of the covering map constructed in the proof of this theorem is a surface $B_1\cong B$, embedded in X with an isolated singularity z of type α and such that $e(B_1)=e(B)$. When restricted to the class of two-bridge slice singularities, Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 give a necessary and sufficient condition for a pair of integers (σ,χ) to arise as the signature and Euler characteristic of a simply-connected dihedral cover over a given base.

Next, we show that over any indefinite four-manifold X, an infinite family of integer pairs (σ,χ) can be realized as the signatures and Euler characteristics of simply-connected p-fold dihedral covers over X.

Theorem 1.6. *Let X be a simply-connected closed oriented four-manifold whose intersection form is indefinite and whose second Betti number is positive. Let α be a two-bridge slice knot which admits an irregular dihedral p-fold cover for p an odd prime p. There exists an infinite family of pairwise non-homeomorphic simply-connected closed oriented four-manifolds $\{Y_i\}$, each of which is homeomorphic to an irregular p-fold cover of X branched over an oriented surface embedded in X with an isolated singularity of type α.*

We remark that for any p, infinitely many knots α which satisfy the hypotheses of the theorem exist, as shown in Proposition 3.9.

[1]Precisely, it is an invariant of α *together with a presentation* of $\pi_1(S^3-\alpha,x_0)$ onto D_p, the dihedral group of order $2p$. In a lot of cases, the latter is determined by α, up to the appropriate notion of equivalence.

The construction used to prove Theorem 1.6 depends on our ability to vary the branching set of a dihedral cover. A subtle question that ensues from it is when *a particular manifold* Y can be realized as a p-fold dihedral cover over a given base data (X, B, α). In situations where the manifold Y is (nearly) determined by the rank and signature of its intersection form, we obtain a complete classification.

Theorem 1.7. *Let X and Y be simply-connected closed oriented four-manifolds whose intersection forms are odd and indefinite and whose Kirby-Siebenmann invariants (cap product with the fundamental class) are equal. Fix an odd square-free integer p and a two-bridge slice knot α. Let $B \subset X$ be an embedded surface such that $\pi_1(X - B, x_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Y is homeomorphic to an irregular p-fold dihedral cover of X if and only if the Euler characteristic and signature of Y satisfy the formulas in Theorem 1.4 with respect to X, B and α.*

The rest of this article is organized as follows. In section 2 we prove Theorem 1.4, give a formula for $\sigma(W(\alpha, \beta))$ in terms of linking numbers in a branched cover of α, and show that the defect on the signature arising from a singularity on the branching set is an invariant of the singularity type. Section 3 is dedicated to the proofs of Theorems 1.5, 1.6 and 1.7. In Appendix A we study characteristic knots of two-bridge knots. Appendix B lays out a procedure for calculating linking numbers in a branched cover of a knot.

2. Necessary condition for existence of a dihedral cover

Our strategy in proving Theorem 1.4 is to resolve the singularity on the branching set and reduce the computation of the signature to the topologically flat case. Then, Novikov Additivity [23] tells us that the the difference between the signatures of the smooth and singular covers is given by the signature of the manifold used to resolve the singularity. Therefore, we compute the signature of this manifold and we prove we can express it in terms of invariants of the singularity type.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The assertion that α admits an irregular dihedral p-fold cover and this cover is the three-sphere is verified by considering the local picture around the singular point. The existence of a p-fold dihedral cover $f : Y \to X$ over the pair (X, B) implies straight away that the knot α itself admits a p-fold dihedral cover M. Indeed, simply consider the restriction of f to $f^{-1}(\partial N(z))$, where $z \in B \subset X$ is the singular point on the branching set and $N(z)$ denotes a small neighborhood. Since by assumption there is a homeomorphism of pairs

$$(\partial N(z), B \cap \partial N(z)) \cong (S^3, \alpha),$$

this restriction of f to $f^{-1}(\partial N(z))$ is the desired dihedral cover. Furthermore, over $N(z)$ lies the cone on M. Since by assumption Y is a manifold, M is homeomorphic to the three-sphere.

We begin by verifying Equation 1.2, a straight-forward computation. Let $N(B)$ denote a regular neighborhood of B in X. Then, we can write

$$X = (X - N(B)) \bigcup_{\partial N(B)} N(B).$$

Since $\partial N(B)$ is a closed oriented three-manifold, we know that $\chi(\partial N(B)) = 0$. This gives:

$$\chi(X) = \chi(X - N(B)) + \chi(N(B)) = \chi(X - B) + \chi(B).$$

We can further break down this equation as:

$$\chi(X) = \chi(X - B) + \chi(B - z) + 1.$$

Similarly, denoting $B' := f^{-1}(B)$ and $z' := f^{-1}(z)$, we have,

$$\chi(Y) = \chi(Y - B') + \chi(B' - z') + 1.$$

We know that $f|_{Y-B'} : Y - B' \to X - B$ is a p-to-one covering map, $f|_{B'-z'} : B' - z' \to B - z$ is a $\frac{p+1}{2}$-to-one covering map, and, of course, $f|_{z'} : z' \to z$ is one-to-one.Therefore,

$$\chi(Y) = p\chi(X - B) + \frac{p+1}{2}(\chi(B) - 1) + 1 = p\chi(X) - \frac{p-1}{2}\chi(B) - \frac{p-1}{2},$$

as claimed.

Now we turn to the computation of $\sigma(Y)$, which requires considerably more work. We devise a geometric procedure for the resolution of the singularity on the branched cover. The singularity is resolved in two stages. To begin with, the branching set has one singular point, in a neighborhood of which the branching set can be described in terms the knot α. Our first step will be to replace this singularity by a curve's worth of "standard" (that is, independent of the knot type α) non-manifold points on the branching set. The second step will be to excise these "standard" singularities and construct a new cover whose branching set is a locally flat submanifold of the base. We carry out these two steps in detail below. The final step of the proof is to calculate the effect each of them has on the signatures of the four-manifolds involved.

Step 1. Let $D_z \subset X$ be a neighborhood of the singular point z such that $(D_z \cap B) \subset D_z$ is the cone on α. As we already established, α admits a p-fold dihedral cover. Equivalently, if V is any Seifert surface for α, there exists a mod p characteristic knot $\beta \subset V$ (see Definition 1.3). Let $W(\alpha, \beta)$ be the manifold constructed in [7] as a cobordism between a p-fold dihedral cover of (S^3, α) and a p-fold cyclic cover of (S^3, β). By construction (which is recalled in the proof of Proposition 2.3), there is a p-fold branched covering map

$$h_1 : W(\alpha, \beta) \to S^3 \times [0, 1],$$

which restricts to a dihedral cover of $(S^3 \times \{0\}, \alpha)$ and to a cyclic cover of $(S^3 \times \{1\}, \beta)$. Secondly, let

$$h_2 : Q \to D^4$$

be a p-fold cyclic cover of the closed four-ball branched over a Seifert surface V' for β, as constructed in Theorem 5 of [5]. Denote by Σ the p-fold cyclic cover of (S^3, β). By construction, $\partial Q \cong \Sigma$ and, similarly, $W(\alpha, \beta)$ has one boundary component homeomorphic to Σ. Moreover, for $i = 1, 2$, the map

$$h_i|_\Sigma : \Sigma \to S^3$$

is the p-fold cyclic cover branched along β. Therefore, we can construct a branched cover

$$h_1 \cup h_2 : W(\alpha, \beta) \bigcup_{\Sigma} Q \longrightarrow S^3 \times [0, 1] \bigcup_{S^3 \times \{1\}} D^4. \tag{2.1}$$

We denote $W(\alpha, \beta) \bigcup_\Sigma Q$ by Z for short, and the map $h_1 \cup h_2$ by h. Thus, we can rewrite Equation 2.1 as

$$h : Z \to D^4.$$

This map is a p-fold branched cover whose restriction to the boundary of Z a p-fold irregular dihedral cover of (S^3, α). So, denoting the branching set of h by T, we have,

$$T \cong \alpha \times [0, \frac{1}{2}] \bigcup_{\alpha \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}} V \times \{\frac{1}{2}\} \bigcup_{\beta \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}} \beta \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \bigcup_{\beta \times \{1\}} V'. \tag{2.2}$$

We see from this description that T is a two-dimensional subcomplex of D^4 which is a manifold away from the curve $\beta \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}$. Observe that $\beta \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ is embedded in the interior of $V \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}$. Therefore, in a small neighborhood of the curve $\beta \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}$, the branching set is homeomorphic to the Cartesian product of S^1 and the letter "$\top$" . (For more details on this construction we once again refer the reader to [7].)

The idea is to use the map h to construct a new cover of the manifold X which will differ from the original cover f only over a neighborhood of the singularity $z \in B$. Specifically, let $D'_z := f^{-1}(D_z)$ and observe that the restrictions of the maps f and h to the boundaries of $Y - D_z$ and Z, respectively, are the p-fold irregular dihedral branched cover[2] of (S^3, α), which is again S^3.Therefore, we can define a new branched covering map

$$f \cup h : (Y - D'_z) \bigcup_{S^3} Z \longrightarrow (X - D_z) \bigcup_{S^3} D^4. \tag{2.3}$$

Denote the manifold $(Y - D'_z) \bigcup_{S^3} Z$ which appears in Equation 2.3 by Y_1 and the map $f \cup h$ by f_1. Note that, by Novikov additivity [23], and since D'_z is a four-ball, $\sigma(Y_1) = \sigma(Y) + \sigma(Z, S^3)$.

Of course,

$$X - D_z \bigcup_{S^3} D^4 \cong X,$$

so we continue to denote the base space by X. We denote the branching set of f_1 by B_1 and remark that

$$B_1 \cong B - N(z) \bigcup_{\alpha \times \{0\}} T.$$

In other words, we replaced the cone on α by Z. As prescribed, B_1 has a circle's worth of non-manifold points – the points corresponding to $\beta \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ in Equation 2.2 – regardless of the choice of the knot α.

Step 2. Denote by β^* the curve of non-manifold points of T above. We have, $\beta^* \subset T \subset D^4 \subset X$. Let $N(\beta^*)$ be a small tubular neighborhood of β^* in X. We give $N(\beta^*)$ the following trivialization. For every $b \in \beta^*$, let $\vec{n}_1(b)$ be the positive normal to β in V at the point b, $\vec{n}_2(b)$ the positive normal to V in $S^3 \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}$, and $\vec{n}_3(b)$ the positive normal to S^3 in the product structure $S^3 \times I$. Clearly, $\{\vec{n}_1(b), \vec{n}_2(b), \vec{n}_3(b)\}$ are linearly independent for all $b \in \beta^*$, so they give a framing of β^* in X.

We use the above framing to identify $N(\beta^*)$ with $S^1 \times B^3$ and $\partial N(\beta^*)$ with $\beta^* \times S^2$. Now, we construct a new closed oriented four-manifold, denoted X_2, as

[2]We use the phrase "*the* dihedral cover of α" somewhat liberally throughout this paper. Dihedral covers of α are in bijective correspondence with equivalence classes of characteristic knots β. Naturally, if α admits multiple non-equivalent dihedral covers, we choose the one determined by f to construct Z.

follows:

$$X_2 = \big(X - N(\beta^*)\big) \bigcup_{S^1\times S^2} \big(X - N(\beta^*)\big).$$

The identification of the two copies of $\partial(X - N(\beta^*))$ is done by a homeomorphism

$$\phi : S^1 \times S^2 \to S^1 \times S^2$$

given by the formula

$$\phi(e^{i\theta}, y) = (e^{-i\theta}, y).$$

In particular, ϕ reverses orientation on $S^1 \times S^2$, so the manifold X_2 can be given an orientation which restricts to the original orientations on both copies of $X - N(\beta^*)$. Therefore, by Novikov additivity we obtain:

$$\sigma(X_2) = 2\sigma(X - N(\beta^*), \partial) = 2\sigma(X). \tag{2.4}$$

Note that, since ϕ restricts to the identity on the S^2 factor, it identifies the boundary of the branching set $T - N(\beta^*)$ in one copy of $X - N(\beta^*)$ with the boundary of branching set in the other copy of $X - N(\beta^*)$. Thus, the image of the branching set after this identification has the form

$$\big(B_1 - N(\beta^*)\big) \bigcup_{3S^1} \big(B_1 - N(\beta^*)\big) =: B_2. \tag{2.5}$$

Here the fact that the union of the two copies of $T - N(\beta^*)$ is taken along three circles corresponds to the fact that a neighborhood of the singular curve β^* intersects T in three closed curves (one for each "vertex" of the letter "$\top$").

Note that, since ϕ reverses the orientation on each boundary circle, the orientations of the two copies of $(B_1 - N(\beta^*))$ can be combined to obtain a compatible orientation on B_2. Furthermore, the positive normal to the oriented surface $(V - N(\beta^*)) \cup_{\phi_|} (V - N(\beta^*))$ inside the three-manifold $(S^3 \times \{\frac{1}{2}\} - N(\beta^*)) \cup_{\phi_|} (S^3 \times \{\frac{1}{2}\} - N(\beta^*))$ restricts to the normals to V in each corresponding copy of S^3. This observation will come into use shortly.

Recalling the definition of B_1, namely $B_1 = (B - D_z) \bigcup_\alpha (T - N(\beta^*))$, we can describe $B_2 = (B_1 - N(\beta^*)) \cup_{3S^1} (B_1 - N(\beta^*))$ in more detail as follows:

$$B_2 = \big((B - D_z) \cup_\alpha (T - N(\beta^*))\big) \bigcup_{3S^1} \big((B - D_z) \cup_\alpha (T - N(\beta^*))\big). \tag{2.6}$$

By construction, B_2 is embedded locally flatly in X_2 – that is, all singularities have been resolved. In addition, B_2 has two connected components, since deleting a neighborhood of β^* disconnects T. Attaching via $\phi_|$ along the three curves in $(S^1 \times S^2) \cap (T - N(\beta^*))$ has the following effect on B_2: it pairs off each of the four surfaces with boundary and its homeomorphic copy, producing two closed surfaces which we denote B_2' and B_2''. Here, B_2' is the component of B_2 obtained by identifying two copies of $(B - D_z) \cup_\alpha (V - \beta)$ along $S^1 \amalg S^1$, and B_2'' is the component of B_2 obtained by identifying two copies[3] of V' along S^1. By construction, the cover over B_2' is p-fold dihedral, whereas the cover over B_2'' is p-fold cyclic. That is, a point in B_2' has $\frac{p+1}{2}$ pre-images, all but one of branching index 2, whereas a point in B_2'' has one pre-image of index p. This distinction becomes relevant when we compute the signature of the branched cover whose branching set consists of B_2' and B_2'' (Equation 2.8).

[3]It would be more consistent with our earlier notation to say that B_2'' is obtained from two copies of $\beta \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \cup_{\beta\times\{1\}} V'$, which, of course, is a surface homeomorphic to V'.

Now our aim is to construct a p-fold branched cover of (X_2, B_2) from the covers f of (X, B) and h of (D^4, T). Moreover, we require that the cover we construct be a manifold. We are helped greatly in this task by the observation that

$$N' := h^{-1}(N(\beta^*)) \cong S^1 \times B^3,$$

even though the branching set of h is non-manifold along β^*. (A nice explanation of this rather surprising fact can be found on p.173-174 of [7]). Here, the trivialization of the normal bundle N' of $h^{-1}(\beta^*)$ is given by the pull-back of the trivialization of $N(\beta^*)$. We can now construct the covering manifold as:

$$Y_2 := \big(Y_1 - N'\big) \bigcup_{S^1 \times S^2} \big(Y_1 - N'\big).$$

The identification along the boundary $S^1 \times S^2$ is again done by ϕ, so, once more, Y_2 can be given an orientation which restricts in each copy of $(Y_1 - N')$ to the orientation compatible with the given orientation on Y. In particular,

$$\sigma(Y_2) = \sigma\big((Y_1 - N') \cup_{S^1 \times S^2} (Y_1 - N')\big) = 2\sigma(Y_1) = 2(\sigma(Y) + \sigma(W, S^3)). \tag{2.7}$$

Because both Y_2 and X_2 were constructed from copies of $(Y_1 - N')$ and $(X - N(\beta^*))$ by gluing via ϕ, the restrictions of f_1 to the two copies of $(Y_1 - N')$,

$$f_1| : (Y_1 - N') \to (X - N(\beta^*)),$$

can be glued to obtain a map

$$f_2 : \big((Y_1 - N') \cup_{S^1 \times S^2} (Y_1 - N')\big) \to \big(X - N(\beta^*)\big) \cup_{S^1 \times S^2} \big(X - N(\beta^*)\big),$$

written for short as

$$f_2 : Y_2 \to X_2.$$

This is the branched cover we will use in the final step of the proof to compute the signature of the original manifold Y.

Step 3. To complete the proof, what remains is to compute the effect this construction has on the signatures of the base and covering manifolds. By Viro's formula [31] for the signature of a branched cover, we have

$$\sigma(Y_2) = p\sigma(X_2) - \frac{p-1}{2} e(B_2') - \frac{p^2-1}{3p} e(B_2''). \tag{2.8}$$

Recall that from Equations 2.4 and 2.7 we have

$$\sigma(X_2) = 2\sigma(X) \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\sigma(Y) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma(Y_2) - \sigma(Z, M). \tag{2.10}$$

Also, by Novikov additivity,

$$\sigma(Z, S^3) = \sigma(W(\alpha, \beta), S^3 \cup \Sigma) + \sigma(Q, \Sigma) = \sigma(W(\alpha, \beta)) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sigma_{\zeta^i}(\beta). \tag{2.11}$$

In the last step, we have expressed the signature of Q in terms of Tristram-Levine signatures of β, using Theorem 5 of [5]. We have also shortened $\sigma(W(\alpha, \beta), S^3 \cup \Sigma)$ to $\sigma(W(\alpha, \beta))$. Now we combine Equations 2.8, 2.9, 2.10 and 2.11 in order to

express $\sigma(Y)$ in terms of data about X, the branching set, the singularity α and its characteristic knot β. After simplifying, we obtain,

$$\sigma(Y) = p\sigma(X) - \frac{1}{2}\big(\frac{p-1}{2}e(B_2') - \frac{p^2-1}{3p}e(B_2'')\big) - \sigma(W(\alpha,\beta)) - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1}\sigma_{\zeta^i}(\beta). \tag{2.12}$$

To arrive at Equation 1.1, what remains is to compute the self-intersection numbers of B_2' and B_2'' in X_2 and relate them to that of B in X.

We denote the intersection number of two submanifolds by "$\centerdot$", and the push-off of a submanifold S along a normal $\vec{u}$ by $\vec{u}(S)$. For brevity, we also denote $B - D_z$, the complement in B of a small neighborhood of the singularity z, by B_z.

Note that if $\vec{v}$ is a continuous extension (not necessarily non-vanishing) to B_z of the normal to V in $S^3 = \partial D_z$ such that B_z and $\vec{v}(B_z)$ are transverse, then by definition

$$e(B) = (B_z \cup_\alpha V) \centerdot \vec{v}(B_z \cup_\alpha V). \tag{2.13}$$

Now, $V \subset D_z$ and $\vec{v}(V) \subset D_z$, whereas $B_z \cap D_z = \alpha$ and $\vec{v}$ can be chosen so that $\vec{v}(B_z) \cap D_z = \vec{v}(\alpha)$. In particular, V is disjoint from both $\vec{v}(V)$ and $\vec{v}(B_z)$, and B_z is disjoint from $\vec{v}(V)$. Therefore, Equation 2.13 simplifies to

$$e(B) = B_z \centerdot \vec{v}(B_z), \tag{2.14}$$

where the right hand side represents the intersection number of transverse submanifolds with disjoint boundary in $X - D_z$. Recall that the surface B_2' is obtained from two copies of $B_z \cup_\alpha (V - \beta)$ attached by a homeomorphism $\phi_|$ on their boundary $\beta_1 \amalg \beta_2$, reversing orientation on each component. Recall also that the restriction to $S^3 \times \{\frac{1}{2}\} \cap \partial N(\beta^*)$ of the positive normal to V in $S^3 \times \frac{1}{2}$ (and thus of $\vec{v}$), is preserved by the gluing homeomorphism $\phi_|$. Therefore, the two copies of the normal $\vec{v}$ to $B_z \cup_\alpha (V - \beta)$ can be combined obtain a normal, which we also denote $\vec{v}$, to B_2' in X_2. Then,

$$B_2' = B_z \cup_\alpha (V - \beta) \cup_{\beta_1 \amalg \beta_2} (V - \beta) \cup_\alpha B_z. \tag{2.15}$$

Since by the argument above $V - \beta$ and $\vec{v}(V - \beta)$ contribute nothing to the self-intersection $B_2' \centerdot \vec{v}(B_2')$, we have

$$e(B_2') = 2(B_z \centerdot \vec{v}(B_z)) = 2e(B). \tag{2.16}$$

Next, recall that $B_2'' = V' \cup_\beta V'$ and $\vec{n}_1$ is the normal to β in V. Denote by $\vec{v'}$ a continuous extension (not necessarily nowhere-zero) to V' of the normal $\vec{n}_1$ so that V' and $\vec{v'}(V')$ are transverse. We have,

$$e(B_2'') = 2(V' \centerdot \vec{v'}(V')) = 2lk(\beta, \vec{v'}(\beta)) = 2lk(\beta, \vec{n}_1(\beta)) = L_V(\beta,\beta). \tag{2.17}$$

Recall that L_V denotes the symmetrized linking form on V, the Seifert surface for α. The last equality follows from the fact that $\vec{n}_1$ and $\vec{n}_2$, the normal to β determined by V, are everywhere linearly independent, so $2lk(\beta, \vec{n}_1(\beta)) = 2lk(\beta, \vec{n}_2(\beta))$.

Substituting for $e(B_2')$ from Equation 2.16 and for $e(B_2'')$ from Equation 2.17, we can rewrite Equation 2.12 as:

$$\sigma(Y) = p\sigma(X) - \frac{p-1}{2}e(B) - \frac{p^2-1}{6p}L_V(\beta,\beta) - \sigma(W(\alpha,\beta)) - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1}\sigma_{\zeta^i}(\beta). \tag{2.18}$$

With that, the proof is complete. □

Remark 2.1. The property that a p-fold dihedral cover of a knot α is homeomorphic to the three-sphere can be regarded as a condition for α to be an allowable singularity on the branching set of an irregular p-fold dihedral cover between four-manifolds. The condition is satisfied, for example, for all two-bridge knots and any odd p (see the proof of Lemma 3.3) and can be disregarded if one allows the covering space to be a stratified space. In this case, an analogous formula can be obtained, using intersection homology signature or Novikov signature of a singular space.

Remark 2.2. The reader may be wondering about the applications of these methods to cyclic branched covers, a seemingly more natural case to consider first. Indeed, in the case of a cyclic branched cover, the same techniques would apply and the proof would be considerably simpler: in the notation of the proof of the last theorem, only the manifold Q would be needed to resolve the singularity. However, it is a consequence of the validity of the Smith Conjecture [22] that no non-trivial knot can arise as a singularity on a cyclic cover between four-manifolds. This is the reason why the case of cyclic covers is not considered in this work. The methods, however, are applicable in a scenario where stratified spaces are allowed as the covers.

Although we have arrived at the desired equation, the formula we have obtained does not quite startle with its usefulness, as long as the term $\sigma(W(\alpha,\beta))$ remains obscure. As stated in the introduction, we aim to compute the defect to $\sigma(Y)$ in terms of the singularity type α. That is, we need to express $\sigma(W(\alpha,\beta))$ explicitly in terms of some computable invariants of α. It turns out that we can give a formula for $\sigma(W(\alpha,\beta))$ using linking numbers of curves in the irregular dihedral p-fold branched cover of α. To this end, we first compute the second homology group of this manifold – this is the content of Proposition 2.3. In Corollary 2.4, we give a basis for this homology group in terms of lifts to a dihedral curves of the chosen Seifert surface V and characteristic knot β for α. Finally, in Proposition 2.5, we give an explicit formula for the term $\sigma(W(\alpha,\beta))$ using linking numbers of the above curves.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\alpha \subset S^3$ be a knot which admits a p-fold irregular dihedral cover M for some odd prime p. Let V be a Seifert surface for α and let $\beta \subset V$ be a* mod p *characteristic knot for α. Let Σ the p-fold cyclic cover of β. Let $W(\alpha,\beta)$, here denoted W, be the cobordism between M and Σ constructed in* [7] *and used in the proof of Theorem 1.4. Denote by $V-\beta$ the surface V with a small open neighborhood of β removed, and by β_1 and β_2 the two boundary components of $V-\beta$ that are parallel to β. Then:*

$$H_2(W,M;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \oplus (H_1(V-\beta;\mathbb{Z})/[\beta_1],[\beta_2])^{\frac{p-1}{2}}. \tag{2.19}$$

Proof. Since Cappell and Shaneson's construction of W is essential to our computation, we review it here. Let $f:\Sigma \to S^3$ be the cyclic p-fold cover of β. Since p is prime, it is well known that Σ is a rational homology sphere [29]. Let

$$f \times 1_I : \Sigma \times [0,1] \to S^3 \times [0,1]$$

be the induced cyclic branched cover of $S^3 \times [0,1]$. Next, let

$$J := f^{-1}(V \times [-\epsilon,\epsilon] \times \{1\})$$

be the pre-image of a closed tubular neighborhood $V \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon] \times \{1\}$ of $V \times \{1\}$ in $S^3 \times \{1\}$, and let T be its "core", namely $T := f^{-1}(V \times \{0\} \times \{1\})$ and

$$T \subset J \subset \Sigma \times \{1\}.$$

Then J deformation-retracts to T, and T consists of p copies of V identified along β and permuted cyclically by the group of covering transformations of f.

Consider the involution $\bar{h}$ of J defined in [7] as the lift of the map

$$h : V \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon] \to V \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon],$$
$$h(u, t) \mapsto (u, -t)$$

fixing a chosen copy of V in $f^{-1}(V \times \{0\} \times \{1\})$. Let q be the quotient map defined as

$$q : \Sigma \to \Sigma / \{x \sim \bar{h}(x) | x \in J\} = \Sigma / \bar{h}.$$

Similarly, let

$$W := (\Sigma \times I)/(x \sim \bar{h}(x) | x \in J \subset \Sigma \times \{1\})$$

and let

$$M := (\Sigma - J^\circ)/\bar{h},$$

where J° denotes the interior of J. By definition, $\Sigma/\bar{h} = M \cup (J/\bar{h})$. As shown in [7], M is the p-fold irregular dihedral cover of α and W is a cobordism between M and the cyclic p-fold cover $\Sigma = \Sigma \times \{0\}$ of β.

This completes the description of the construction of the pair (W, M) whose second homology we are about to compute.

Note that W is by definition the mapping cylinder of the quotient map q. Let $R := J/\bar{h}$. We have

$$H_2(W, M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_2(M \cup R, M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_2(R, M \cap R; \mathbb{Z}),$$

where the second isomorphism is excision, and the first follows from the fact that W deformation-retracts onto $\Sigma/\bar{h} = M \cup R$. Since

$$M \cap R = \partial R - V_0$$

(following the notation of [7], V_0 is the copy of V in T fixed by $\bar{h}$), we can rewrite the above isomorphism as

$$H_2(W, M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_2(R, \partial R - V_0; \mathbb{Z}). \tag{2.20}$$

The relevant portion of the long exact sequence of the pair $(R, \partial R - V_0)$ is:

$$H_2(R; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(R, \partial R - V_0; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(\partial R - V_0; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(R; \mathbb{Z}). \tag{2.21}$$

We will shortly show that $H_2(R; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ (see Equation 2.24). Assuming this for the moment, the above exact sequence, combined with Equation 2.20, gives:

$$H_2(W, M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \ker(i_* : H_1(\partial R - V_0; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(R; \mathbb{Z})). \tag{2.22}$$

Our goal, therefore, is compute this kernel. Note, furthermore, that we are not simply interested in its rank over $\mathbb{Z}$; we want to write down an explicit basis for $\ker(i_*)$ in terms of lifts to M of curves in the complement of $\alpha \subset S^3$.

Recall that V is a surface with boundary and, by definition, β represents a non-zero primitive class in $H_1(V; \mathbb{Z})$. Therefore, β can be completed to a one-dimensional subcomplex $C \vee \beta$ which V deformation-retracts to, where C is the wedge of $2g - 1$ circles, and g the genus of V. Moreover, we can perform the deformation retraction of V onto such a one-complex simultaneously on each copy

of V contained in T, fixing the curve of intersection β. Therefore, T deformation-retracts to a one-complex containing β wedged to p copies of C, where

$$H_1(C;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_1(V;\mathbb{Z})/[\beta].$$

It follows that

$$H_2(J;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_2(T;\mathbb{Z}) \cong 0$$

and

$$H_1(J;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_1(T;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \oplus_p(H_1(V;\mathbb{Z})/[\beta]) \oplus \mathbb{Z},$$

where the singled-out copy of $\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $[\beta]$.

Furthermore, since the deformation-retraction of J onto T can be chosen to commute with $\bar{h}$, $J/\bar{h} = R$ deformation-retracts to $T/\bar{h}$, which is isomorphic to $\frac{p+1}{2}$ copies of V identified along β. (This isomorphism is seen from the fact that V_0 is fixed by $\bar{h}$, and the remaining $p-1$ copies of V in T become pairwise identified in the quotient. All copies of β are identified to a single circle in both T and $T/\bar{h}$.) Therefore,

$$H_1(R;\mathbb{Z}) \cong (H_1(V;\mathbb{Z})/[\beta])^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.23}$$

By the same reasoning as above, we can also conclude that $T/\bar{h}$ deformation-retracts to a one-complex, so

$$H_2(R;\mathbb{Z}) = H_2(J/\bar{h};\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_2(T/\bar{h};\mathbb{Z}) \cong 0. \tag{2.24}$$

Next, we examine $\partial(J)$ and ∂R. To start, $\partial(V \times [0,1]) \cong V \cup_\alpha V$. Therefore, $\partial(J)$ can be thought of as the union of p copies of $(V-\beta)\cup_\alpha (V-\beta)$, which we label $V_i^+ \cup_{\alpha_i} V_i^-$, $0 \le i < p$, with further identifications we now describe. Denote the copy of β lying in $V_i^\pm$ by $\beta_i^\pm$, and cut each $V_i^\pm$ along $\beta_i^\pm \subset V_i^\pm$. Now, $V_i^\pm - \eta(\beta_i^\pm)$ is a connected surface with three boundary components, α_i, $\beta_{i,1}^\pm$ and $\beta_{i,2}^\pm$, where the $\beta_{i,j}^\pm \subset V_i^\pm$ are labeled in such a way that $\beta_{i,j}^+$ and $\beta_{i,j}^-$ correspond to $\beta_{i,j} \times \{1\}$ and $\beta_{i,j} \times \{0\}$ in $V_i \times [0,1]$; that is, the projection map $V_i \times [0,1] \to V_i$ sends $\beta_{i,j}^+$ and $\beta_{i,j}^-$ to the same boundary component of $V - \eta(\beta)$.

Now, the covering translation τ on J acts in a neighborhood of β as rotation by $\frac{2\pi i}{p}$ degrees. With the labeling described above, τ carries $\beta_{i,j}^\pm$ to $\beta_{i+1 \mod p,j}^\pm$. Moreover, in $\partial(J)$, we have the following identifications: $\beta_{i,1}^+ \sim \beta_{i+\frac{p+1}{2} \mod p,2}^+$ and $\beta_{i,1}^- \sim \beta_{i+\frac{p-1}{2} \mod p,2}^-$. Put differently, we can think of $\partial(J)$ as obtained from $2p$ disjoint copies of $V-\beta$, labeled $V_i^\pm - \beta_i^\pm$, by gluing α_i^+ to α_i^- and the $\beta_{i,j}^\pm$-s according to the identifications specified above. Thus, $\partial(J)$ is a closed surface. By considering its Euler characteristic, we find that its genus is $(2g-1)p+1$. In addition, from the above decomposition of $\partial(J)$ we find that

$$H_1(\partial(J);\mathbb{Z}) \cong ((H_1(V-\beta;\mathbb{Z}))/([\beta_1],[\beta_2]))^{2p} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{2p+2}. \tag{2.25}$$

Recall that R is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ quotient of J, where the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ action fixes $V_0 \times I$ and pairs off V_i^+ with V_{p-i}^- for $1 \le i \le \frac{p-1}{2}$. It follows that $\partial R - V_0$ is a surface of genus $p(g-1) + \frac{p+1}{2}$ and we have,

$$H_1(\partial R - V_0;\mathbb{Z}) \cong ((H_1(V-\beta;\mathbb{Z}))/([\beta_1],[\beta_2]))^{p} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{p+1}. \tag{2.26}$$

Recall that our aim is to compute

$$\ker(i_* : H_1(\partial R - V_0; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(R; \mathbb{Z})).$$

Again, the idea behind expressing $H_1(\partial R - V_0; \mathbb{Z})$ as in Equation 2.26 is to obtain a convenient basis for this kernel, and to relate this basis to a basis for the homology of V. Specifically, the virtue of $H_1(V - \beta; \mathbb{Z}))/([\beta_1], [\beta_2])$ is that it a basis for this group can be extended to a basis for both $H_1(V; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_1(V - \beta; \mathbb{Z})$. In particular, the inclusion $i : \partial R - V_0 \to R$ induces an injection $i_{*|} : H_1(V - \beta; \mathbb{Z})/([\beta_1], [\beta_2]) \to H_1(R; \mathbb{Z})$ for each copy of $V - \beta \subset \partial R - V_0$. Furthermore, for each $V_i \subset T/\bar{h} \simeq R$, the inclusion $i : V_i \to R$ induces an injection on $H_1(V - \beta; \mathbb{Z})/([\beta_1], [\beta_2])$.

With this in mind, using Equations 2.24 and 2.26, we rewrite

$$i_* : H_1(\partial R - V_0; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(R; \mathbb{Z})$$

as

$$i_* : \left((H_1(V - \beta; \mathbb{Z}))/([\beta_1], [\beta_2])\right)^p \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{p+1} \to (H_1(V; \mathbb{Z})/[\beta])^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

Note that i_* maps the copy of $(H_1(V - \beta; \mathbb{Z}))/([\beta_1], [\beta_2])$ coming from V_0^+ isomorphically onto its image, and it "pairs off" the remaining $p - 1$ copies of $(H_1(V - \beta; \mathbb{Z}))/([\beta_1], [\beta_2])$, including each of them into one of the remaining $\frac{p-1}{2}$ copies of $H_1(V; \mathbb{Z})/[\beta]$ in R. This contributes $H_1(V - \beta; \mathbb{Z})^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ to $\ker(i_*)$. In addition, the generators for the $\mathbb{Z}^{p+1}$ summand in $H_1(\partial R - V_0; \mathbb{Z})$ can be chosen as follows. There are $\mathbb{Z}^{\frac{p+1}{2}}$ generators which correspond to copies of β lying in the various copies of $V - \beta \subset (\partial R - V_0)$; they all map to the single $[\beta]$ in the image, contributing $\mathbb{Z}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ to $\ker i_*$. Finally, there are an additional $\mathbb{Z}^{\frac{p+1}{2}}$ generators of the $\mathbb{Z}^{p+1}$ summand in $H_1(\partial R - V_0; \mathbb{Z})$ which are mapped injectively by i_*, onto classes in $T/\bar{h}$ which are not in the image of $i_*(H_1(V - \beta; \mathbb{Z})$ for any copy of V. Consequently, as we claimed,

$$\ker(i_*) \cong (H_1(V - \beta; \mathbb{Z})/([\beta_1], [\beta_2]))^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}.$$

□

Furthermore, we can describe a basis for this kernel.

Corollary 2.4. *Assume the notation of Proposition 2.3. Further, let $w^1, w^2, ..., w^r$ be a basis for $H_1(V - \beta; \mathbb{Z})/([\beta_1], [\beta_2])$. Denote by $w_j^{i,\pm}, i \in \{1, ..., r\}, j \in \{1, ..., p\}$ the pre-images of the w^i lying in $f^{-1}(V \times \{\pm 1\})$ so that $w_j^{i,\pm} \subset V_j^{\pm}$, $f(w_j^{i,\pm}) = w_j^i$ and $\tau w_k^{\pm} = w_{k+1 \mod p}^{\pm}$. Next, let $\bar{h}(w_j^{i,\pm}) =: \overline{w}_j^{i,\pm} \in M$. Finally, denote by $\overline{\beta}_k, k = 0, 1, ..., \frac{p-1}{2}$ the $\frac{p+1}{2}$ generators of $H_1(\partial R - V_0; \mathbb{Z})$ which are represented by copies of β. Then, a basis for $\ker i_*$ is given by:*

$$\{[\overline{w}_k^{i,+} - \overline{w}_k^{i,-}], [\overline{\beta}_k - \overline{\beta}_{k-1}]\}_{i=1,...,r;\ k=1,... \frac{p-1}{2}} \tag{2.27}$$

Proof. The statement follows from the last paragraph of the proof of Proposition 2.3. □

Remark. *The heavy notation we have had to resort to here deserves a comment. Since $\bar{h}$ identifies V_j^+ with V_{p-j}^-, we have $\overline{w}_j^{i,\pm} = \overline{w}_{p-j}^{i,\mp}$. Secondly, there are many choices of $\frac{p+1}{2}$ curves β_k so that the classes $[\beta_k]$ are independent generators of $H_1(\partial R - V_0; \mathbb{Z})$. We note that it is possible to impose the extra condition that $\overline{\beta}_k - \overline{\beta}_{k-1}, k = 1, 2, ... \frac{p-1}{2}$ bounds a cylinder $\beta \times [-1, 1]$ in R. We do this by choosing for the $\overline{\beta}_k$-s "consecutive" copies of β as we move counter-clockwise in*

∂R, starting, for instance, with the copy of β_1 lying in V_0^+. This observation will allow us to simplify the proof of Proposition 2.5.

We are now ready to give a formula for the signature of W.

Proposition 2.5. *Let α be a knot which admits an irregular dihedral p-fold cover for an odd prime p. In addition, assume that this cover is S^3. Using the notation of Corollary 2.4, let A be the matrix of linking numbers in S^3 of the following set of links:*

$$S := \{[\overline{w}_k^{i,+} - \overline{w}_k^{i,-}], [\overline{\beta}_k - \overline{\beta}_{k-1}]\}_{i=1,\dots,r;\ k=1,\dots\frac{p-1}{2}}, \tag{2.28}$$

where the orientation of each curve is compatible with a chosen orientation on the corresponding curve, w^i or β, in V. Then, $\sigma(W) = \sigma(A)$.

Proof. We wish to compute the intersection form on the image $i_*(H_2(W;\mathbb{Z}))$ in $H_2(W, S^3 \cup \Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$. Since p is prime, Σ is a rational homology sphere [29]. It follows that

$$H_2(W, M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong i_*(H_2(W;\mathbb{Z})) \subset H_2(W, S^3 \cup \Sigma; \mathbb{Z}).$$

By Proposition 2.3 we already know that

$$H_2(W, M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \ker(i_* : H_1(\partial R - V_0; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(R; \mathbb{Z})) =: K.$$

Furthermore, by Corollary 2.4, the set of classes in $S \subset H_1(\partial R - V_0; \mathbb{Z})$ defined above forms a basis for K. We use the isomorphism $H_2(W, M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong K$ to obtain an explicit basis for $H_2(W, M; \mathbb{Z})$ consisting of surfaces with boundary which are properly embedded in W.

Recall that the isomorphism between $H_2(W, M; \mathbb{Z})$ and K is given by Equation 2.20, together with the boundary map ∂ in the long exact sequence 2.21. By our choice of basis for K, for any element $u \in S$, u is the boundary of a cylinder $S^1 \times I =: U$ properly embedded in $(R, \partial R - V_0) \subset (W, M)$. We use this cylinder to represent the class $[U] \in H_2(W, M; \mathbb{Z})$ corresponding to u under the isomorphism $H_2(W, M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong K$. Next, given $u_1, u_2 \in S$, we can write $u_1 = a_1 - a_2$ and $u_2 = b_1 - b_2$, where a_i, b_i are oriented curves in the dihedral cover M. Denote by $U_i, i = 1, 2$, the cylinder $S^1 \times I \subset V_j \times I$ with $\partial U_i = u_i$. (Here V_j denotes the lift of V for which $a_1 - a_2$, respectively $b_1 - b_2$, lies on the boundary of $V_j \times I$.) Now, if F_i is any Seifert surface for u_i in $M \cong S^3$, we have $U_i \cup_{u_i} F_i \in H_2(W;\mathbb{Z})$ and $i_* : H_2(W;\mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(W, S^3 \cup \Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$ carries $U_i \cup_{u_i} F_i$ to U_i. We fix two Seifert surfaces F_1 and F_2 and use the classes $U_1 \cup_{u_1} F_1$ and $U_2 \cup_{u_2} F_2$ to compute the intersection number $U_1 \cdot U_2$.

By giving W a little collar, $M \times [0, \epsilon]$, and "pushing in" $U_2 \cup_{u_2} F_2$ ever so slightly, we can assume that F_2 lies in $M \times \{\epsilon\}$, and $U_2 \cup_{u_2} F_2$ is disjoint from $M \times [0, \epsilon)$. Since $F_1 \subset M \times \{0\}$, in order to compute $U_1 \cdot U_2$, it now suffices to consider the intersection of U_1 with $U_2 \cup_{u_2} F_2$. We consider several cases. If the curves a_1 and b_1 are disjoint, then so are $U_1 = a_1 \times I$ and $U_2 = b_2 \times I$, regardless of whether the a_i, b_i live in the same lift of V_j or in different lifts. In this case, the intersection is simply $U_1 \cdot F_2 = lk(a_1 - a_2, b_1 - b_2)$, since $F_2 \subset M \times \{\epsilon\}$, $U_1 \cap (M \times \{\epsilon\}) = a_1 - a_2$ and F_2 is a Seifert surface for $b_1 - b_2$, so that, putting everything together, we have $U_1 \cdot F_2 = (a_1 - a_2) \cap F_2 = lk(a_1 - a_2, b_1 - b_2)$ by definition. Secondly, U_1 and U_2 can be distinct but intersecting cylinders. This can only happen if both live in the same lift of $V \times I$, which we again denote $V_j \times I$. In this case, we use the normal to $V_j \times I$ in W to push off U_1 away from $V_j \times I$ and thus from U_2. Again, we find that

$U_1 \centerdot U_2 = U_1 \centerdot F_2 = lk(a_1 - a_2, b_1 - b_2)$. Lastly, we consider the case where $U_1 = U_2$. For some choice of j we have $U_1 \subset V_j \times I$ with $\partial U_1 = (a_1 - a_2) \subset V_j \times \{0,1\}$. We can push a_1 off itself using its (positive, say) normal in $V_j \times \{0\}$. This push-off extends across $U_1 = (a_1 \times I) \subset (V_j \times I)$, so the cylinder can be made disjoint from itself. Again, we conclude that $U_1 \centerdot U_2 = U_1 \centerdot F_2 = lk(a_1 - a_2, a_1 - a_2)$, where the self-linking number is computed using the normal to $a_1 - a_2$ in $V_j \times \{0,1\}$. Therefore, the matrix of linking numbers between elements of our basis for K is also the intersection matrix for $W = (W(\alpha, \beta))$. This completes the proof. □

Remark. *We note that the self-linking with respect to the normal to $a_1 - a_2$ in $V_j \times \{0,1\}$ is equal to the self-linking with respect to the restriction to $a_1 - a_2$ of the normal to $V_j \times \{0,1\}$ in $M \cong S^3$, since the two vectors are everywhere linearly independent. This is useful for computations, since the normal to V_j in the dihedral cover is just the lift of the normal to V in S_3.*

The Proof of Proposition 2.3 also allows us to compute the fundamental group of the manifold $W(\alpha, \beta)$ for knots α which can arise as singularities of dihedral branched covers between four-manifolds.

Corollary 2.6. *Let p be an odd prime and let α be a knot which admits a p-fold irregular dihedral cover. Assume moreover that this cover homeomorphic to S^3. Let β be a characteristic knot for α and let $W(\alpha, \beta)$ be the cobordism between S^3 and the p-fold cyclic cover of β constructed in* [7]. *Then $W(\alpha, \beta)$ is simply-connected.*

Proof. We assume the notation of the proof of Proposition 2.3. (In this notation, the additional assumption of this Corollary is that $M \cong S^3$.) We have seen that $W(\alpha, \beta)$ is homotopy equivalent to $M \cup R$ and that $M \cap R = \partial R - V_0$. We also know that $i_* : \pi_1(\partial R - V_0, a_0) \to \pi_1(R, a_0)$ is surjective. On the other hand, any loop in $\pi_1(\partial R - V_0, a_0) = \pi_1(M \cap R, a_0)$ is contractible in M since $\pi_1(M; a_0) = 0$. Therefore, by van Kampen's Theorem, $\pi_1(M \cup R, a_0) = 0 = \pi_1(W(\alpha, \beta), a_0)$. □

Finally, we show that the defect to the signature of a branched cover arising from the presence of a singularity α is an invariant of the knot type α.

Proposition 2.7. *Let p be an odd square-free integer, and let $\alpha \subset S^3$ be knot which arises as the singularity of an irregular dihedral p-fold cover between four-manifolds. Assume that p^2 does not*[4] *divide $\Delta(-1)$, where $\Delta(t)$ is the Alexander polynomial of α. In the notation of Theorem 1.4, the integer*

$$\Xi_p(\alpha) := -\frac{p^2 - 1}{6p} L_V(\beta, \beta) - \sigma(W(\alpha, \beta)) - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sigma_{\zeta^i}(\beta) \tag{2.29}$$

is an invariant of the knot type α.

Proof. Since α arises as a singularity of an irregular dihedral p-fold cover, by Theorem 1.4, α itself admits an irregular dihedral p-fold cover. Since p^2 does not divide $\Delta(-1)$, this cover is unique (see footnote on p. 166 of [7]).

When both α and β are fixed, it is clear that each of the terms $\frac{p^2-1}{6p} L_V(\beta, \beta)$, $\sigma(W(\alpha, \beta))$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sigma_{\zeta^i}(\beta)$ is well-defined. Our goal is to show that their sum is in fact independent of the choice of β.

[4]One could allow p^2 to divide $\Delta(-1)$. In this case, Ξ_p would not necessarily be an invariant of the knot type α but, rather, of α together with a specified presentation of $\pi_1(S^3 - \alpha, x_0) \twoheadrightarrow D_{2p}$.

Let $f : Y \to X$ be an irregular dihedral p-fold cover, branched over an oriented surface $B \subset X$, embedded in X with a unique singularity of type α. Such a cover exists by assumption. Then

$$\Xi_p\alpha = p\sigma(X) - \frac{p-1}{2}e(B) - \sigma(Y),$$

a formula independent of the choice of β.

A priori, however, it might be possible for another branched cover $f' : Y' \to X'$, whose branching set also has a singularity of type α, to produce a different value of Ξ_p. This does not occur. By the proof of Theorem 1.4, any choice of characteristic knot β can be used to compute the defect $\Xi_p(\alpha)$ to the signature of Y. Using the same β and Equation 2.29 to compute this signature defect for two different covers, for instance Y and Y', shows that $\Xi_p(\alpha)$ does not vary with the choice of branched cover and indeed depends only on α. □

3. Sufficient condition for existence of a dihedral cover

In this section, we describe a method for constructing an irregular p-fold dihedral cover of a general simply-connected four-manifold X. We use this construction to prove Theorem 1.5, which is a partial converse to Theorem 1.4, applicable in the case of two-bridge slice singularities. More precisely, Theorem 1.5 establishes that, for a class of singularities, all pairs of integers (σ, χ) afforded by the necessary condition (Theorem 1.4) as the signature and Euler characteristic of a p-fold irregular dihedral cover of a given base manifold X with specified branching set B are indeed realized as the signature and Euler characteristic of a p-fold irregular dihedral cover over X.

A main ingredients of the proof is constructing an irregular dihedral cover of S^4 branched over a singular two-sphere with a given singularity (Proposition 3.4). By taking a connected sum with this singular two-sphere, we then introduce a singularity to an embedded surface $B \subset X$ without changing its homeomorphism type or that of the ambient manifold. The dihedral cover of X is constructed from the irregular dihedral cover of (S^4, S^2), together with several copies of the double branched cover of X over the locally flat surface B.

We begin with a simple lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be four-manifold and let $B \subset X$ be an embedded oriented surface of genus g such that $\pi_1(X - B, x_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. The double branched cover of (X, B) is simply-connected.*

Proof. Since $\pi_1(X - B, x_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, a double cover of X branched along B exists. We denote the cover by $\hat{X}$ and we denote by $\hat{B}$ the (homeomorphic) pre-image of B under the covering map. We apply van Kampen's theorem to $\hat{X} = (\hat{X} - \hat{B}) \cup_{\partial N(\hat{B})} N(\hat{B})$, where $N(\hat{B})$ denotes a small tubular neighborhood of $\hat{B}$. Being the universal cover of $(X - B)$, $(\hat{X} - \hat{B})$ is simply connected, so $i_* : \pi_1(\partial N(\hat{B}), b_0) \to \pi_1(\hat{X} - \hat{B}, b_0)$ is the zero map. In addition, $i_* : \pi_1(\partial N(\hat{B}), b_0) \to \pi_1(N(\hat{B}), b_0)$ is surjective. It follows from van Kampen's Theorem that $\hat{X}$ is simply-connected. □

Next, we prove a couple of lemmas concerning the singularity which we will be introduced to the branching set in the construction of a dihedral cover. Lemma 3.2 concerns the fundamental groups of complements of ribbon disks, and Lemma 3.3

allows us to extend a dihedral cover of a two-bridge slice knot to a cover of a disk it bounds.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $K \subset S^3 = \partial B^4$ and let $D' \subset S^3$ be a ribbon disk for K. Then, there exists $D \subset B^4$, a slice disk for K, such that the map $i_* : (\pi_1(S^3 - K), x_0) \to (\pi_1(B^4 - D), x_0)$ induced by inclusion is surjective.*

Proof. Since D' is ribbon, we can push the interior of D' into the interior of B^4 in such a way that the resulting slice disk D admits a Morse function g whose critical points are only saddles and minima. Computing the fundamental group of the complement of D in B^4 by cross-sections (see [10]), we start with $\pi_1(\partial B^4 - \partial D, x_0) = \pi_1(S^3 - K, x_0)$ and proceed to introduce new generators or relations at each critical point of g. Since g has no maxima, no new generators are introduced, implying that $i_* : \pi_1(S^3 - K, x_0) \to \pi_1(B^4 - D, x_0)$ is a surjection.

□

Lemma 3.3. *Let $K \subset S^3 \subset \partial B^4$ be a slice knot and let $D \subset B^4$ be a slice disk for K. Let $p > 1$ be an odd square-free integer. If the pair (S^3, K) admits an irregular p-fold dihedral cover, then the pair (B^4, D) admits one as well. Furthermore, if K is a two-bridge knot, D can be chosen in such a way that the irregular dihedral cover of B^4 branched along D is simply-connected.*

Proof. Let $\Delta_K(t)$ denote the Alexander polynomial of K and $\Delta_D(t)$ that of D. Denote by $\hat{S}$ the double branched cover of the pair (S^3, K) and by $\hat{K}$ the pre-image of K under the covering map. Then, $|\Delta_K(-1)| = |(H_1(\hat{S}; \mathbb{Z})|$. Similarly, denote by $\hat{B}$ the double cover of B^4 branched along D and by $\hat{D}$ the pre-image of D. Again, $|\Delta_D(-1)| = |(H_1(\hat{B}; \mathbb{Z})|$.

Since K admits a dihedral cover, $H_1(\hat{S}; \mathbb{Z})$ surjects onto $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $\Delta_K(-1) \equiv 0 \mod p$. Since D is a slice disk for K, by results of Fox and Milnor [12] we have $\Delta_K(-1) = \pm(\Delta_D(-1))^2$, so $(\Delta_D(-1))^2 \equiv 0 \mod p$. Since p is square-free, we conclude that $\Delta_D(-1) \equiv 0 \mod p$ as well. Then $H_1(\hat{B}; \mathbb{Z})$ surjects onto $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, and thus $\hat{D}$ admits a p-fold cyclic cover T with $\partial T =: N$. This cover T is the *regular* dihedral $2p$-fold branched cover of (B^4, D). Let Z be the quotient of T by the action of any $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ subgroup of D_{2p}. Then Z is the desired irregular dihedral p-fold cover of (B^4, D). Its boundary, which we denote by U, is the irregular dihedral p-fold cover of K.

Now assume in addition that K is a two-bridge knot. In this case it is well-known that U is in fact S^3. Indeed, the pre-image S^* of a bridge sphere for K is a dihedral cover of S^2 branched over four points, so S^* has Euler characteristic

$$\chi(S^*) = p(\chi(S^2) - 4) + 4\frac{p+1}{2} = 2.$$

A bridge sphere bounds a trivial tangle to either side, and the cover of a trivial tangle is a handlebody. Thefore, S^* is a Heegard surface for U, and, since the genus of S^* is zero, $U \cong S^3$.

Since K is two-bridge slice, it is ribbon. Hence, by Lemma 3.2, the slice disk D for K can be chosen so that $\pi_1(S^3 - K, x_0) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_1(B^4 - D, x_0)$ is a surjection. Therefore, given a map $\psi : \pi_1(B^4 - D, x_0) \to D_{2p}$, the pre-image $(\psi \circ i_*)^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ surjects onto $\psi^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. This implies that the inclusion of the unbranched cover associated to U into the unbranched cover associated to Z induces a surjection on fundamental groups. Since the branching set of U is a subset of the branching set

of Z, it follows that $\pi_1(U, x_0) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_1(Z, x_0)$ is also a surjection. But $\pi_1(U, x_0) = 0$, and we conclude that the irregular dihedral cover of the pair (B^4, D) is simply-connected, as desired. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let $p > 1$ be an odd square-free integer and let $K \subset S^3$ be a slice knot such that the pair (S^3, K) admits an irregular p-fold dihedral cover. Then there exists an embedded two-sphere $S^2 \subset S^4$ such that the pair (S^4, S^2) admits an irregular p-fold dihedral cover W and $S^2 \subset S^4$ is locally flat except at one point where it has a singularity of type K. Moreover, if K a two-bridge knot, W is a simply-connected topological manifold.*

Proof. Let $D_1^2 \subset B_1^4$ be a slice disk for K. Denote the cone on the pair (S^3, K) by (B_2^4, D_2^2). It has the property that D_2^2 is a locally flat submanifold of B_2^4 except at the cone point x, where by construction D_2^2 has a singularity of type K. Identifying the two pairs (B_1^4, D_1^2) and (B_2^4, D_2^2) via the identity map along the two copies of (S^3, K) lying on their boundaries, we obtain an embedding of a two-sphere $S := D_1^2 \cup_K D_2^2$ in $S^4 = B_1^4 \cup_{S^3} B_2^4$ such that the two-sphere S has a unique singularity of type K at x.

By Lemma 3.3, the pair (B_1^4, D_1^2) admits an irregular dihedral p-fold cover W, and its boundary M is the irregular dihedral p-fold cover of the pair (S^3, K). Since (B_2^4, D_2^2) is a cone, its irregular dihedral p-fold cover is simply the cone on M. Thus, the pair (S^4, S) admits a cover

$$Z := W \bigcup_{\partial W \sim M \times \{0\}} (M \times [0,1]/M \times \{1\})$$

as claimed. If, in addition, K is a two-bridge knot, by Lemma 3.3 we know that M is the three-sphere and moreover that we can pick the disk D_1^2 to be ribbon so that W is simply-connected. Thus, Z is a simply-connected manifold. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5. The proof is as follows: first, we modify the branching set B by introducing a singular point of an appropriate type to the embedding of B in X; next, we construct the desired covering space Y by gluing together several manifolds by homeomorphisms on their boundaries; we check that Y is indeed a p-fold irregular dihedral cover of X with the specified branching set; lastly, we verify that Y is a simply-connected topological manifold.

We begin by modifying the branching set by introducing a singularity of type α to the surface $B \subset X$. Let $S^2 \subset S^4$ be an embedded two-sphere with a unique singularity of type α constructed as in Proposition 3.4.

Let $y \in S^2 \subset S^4$ be any locally flat point with $N(y)$ a neighborhood of y not containing the singular point x. We use $N(y)$ to form the connected sum of pairs $(X, B)\#(S^4, S^2) =: (X, B_1)$. By construction, B_1 is homeomorphic to B but is embedded in X in such a way as to admit a unique singularity of type α. Furthermore, we see from the natural Mayer-Vietoris sequence that $H_1(X - B; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_1(X - B_1; \mathbb{Z})$ and the latter group is $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by assumption. Hence, X admits a double cover $f : \hat{X} \to X$ branched along B_1.

A useful way to visualize this cover is the following. Since $y \in S^4$ is a locally flat point, $B \cap \partial N(y)$ is the unknot. Now viewing $\partial N(y)$ as embedded in B_1, we note that the restriction of f to $f^{-1}(\partial N(y))$ is a double branched cover of the trivial knot, whose total space is again S^3. Furthermore, the pre-images under f of the connected summands $(X, B) - B^4$ and $(S^4, S^2) - N(y)$ are the double branched

covers of those summands. We can thus think of the double branched cover $\hat{X}$ of the pair (X, B_1) as the connected sum (along S^3 viewed as a double cover of the unknot) of the double branched covers of a punctured (X, B) and $(S^4, S^2) - N(y)$. For future use, we denote the restriction of f to the pre-image $\hat{X}_0$ of $X - N(x)$ by f_0,

$$f_0 : \hat{X}_0 \to (X - N(x)).$$

Next, consider the irregular dihedral p-fold cover $g : Z \to S^4$ of (S^4, S^2) constructed as in Proposition 3.4. For y as above, the restriction of g to $g^{-1}(\partial N(y))$ is the irregular dihedral p-fold cover of the unknot, which consists of the disjoint union of $\frac{p+1}{2}$ copies of S^3, $\frac{p-1}{2}$ of which are double covers and one a single cover. Furthermore, $g^{-1}(S^4 - N(y))$ is the irregular dihedral p-fold cover of the pair (B^4, D^2), where the two-disk is singular. The boundary of this dihedral cover consists of $\frac{p+1}{2}$ copies of S^3. Of those, $\frac{p-1}{2}$ double-cover the complement of the unknot and one is mapped homeomorphically by g.

We now describe the manifold Y which we will show is homeomorphic to a dihedral cover of X along B_1. We attach to $g^{-1}(S^4 - N(y))$ a copy of $\hat{X}_0$ along each boundary component S^3 which double-covers the complement of the unknot. Naturally, the attachment identifies the boundary components by a homeomorphism of pairs (S^3, S^1), where the second component is the (unknotted) branching set. Finally, in the same manner we attached a punctured copy of X along the boundary component S^3 which is a cover of index 1. The map

$$h := g \cup_{\frac{p-1}{2}} f_0 \cup 1_{X - N(*)} : Y \to X$$

is a branched cover of (X, B_1). By construction, h satisfies the property that for all points $z \in B - x$, if $N(z)$ is a small neighborhood of z in X not containing x, then $h^{-1}(N(z))$ has $\frac{p-1}{2}$ components of index 2 and one component of index 1. So Y is the desired dihedral cover. By Theorem 1.4, the Euler characteristic and signature of Y are those determined by the prescribed triple X, B, α.

Finally, we observe that Y consists of simply-connected manifolds joined together via homeomorphisms on their boundaries. Indeed, X is simply-connected by assumption, and $\hat{X}$ is simply-connected by Proposition 3.1. The irregular dihedral cover Z of S^4 is simply-connected by Proposition 3.4, and, therefore, so is $g^{-1}(S^4 - N(y))$. We conclude that Y is simply-connected, which completes the proof.

□

Remark 3.5. Certain interesting variations on this result are not hard to obtain. For instance, if we do not require that our construction produce a simply-connected cover, we can relax the condition that $\pi_1(X - B, x_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and use for our branching set any surface B which represents an even class in $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. In particular, this allows us to produce, by using any two-bridge slice knot as the singularity and varying the genus of B (see Lemma 3.6), infinitely many dihedral branched covers of S^4, which are easily distinguished by their Euler characteristic.

We can also use the techniques of Theorem 1.5 to construct over a given four-manifold X an infinite family of dihedral covers with the same singularity type on the branching set (Theorem 1.7). The first step is to establish the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $B^2 \subset X^4$ be an oriented surface of genus g embedded locally flatly in X such that $\pi_1(X - B, x_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Then, there exists a smoothly embedded*

oriented surface C of genus $g+1$ in X such that $\pi_1(X-C,x_0)\cong\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and such that $e(B)=e(C)$, where e denotes the self-intersection number of a submanifold.

Proof. Let $T\subset S^4$ be the standard embedding of the two-torus in the four-sphere. We have $\pi_1(S^4-T,x_0)\cong\mathbb{Z}$, generated by any meridian of T in S^4.

Now consider the connected sum of pairs $(X,B)\#(S^4,T)$ and let $C=B\#T\subset X\#S^4\cong X$. Since a meridian m_1 of T in S^4 becomes identified under the connected sum with a meridian m_2 of B in X , it follows that the fundamental group of $(X-C)$ is isomorphic to $\langle m_1,m_2|m_1=m_2,m_2^2=0\rangle\cong\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Finally, under the isomorphism of pairs $(X,B)\#(S^4,T)\cong(X,C)$, the class $[C]\in H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ corresponds to the class $[B\#T]\in H_2(X\#S^4;\mathbb{Z})$. Since $[T]=0\in H_2(X\#S^4;\mathbb{Z})$, indeed $e(B)=e(C)$. And, the genus of C is one higher than that of B, as desired.

□

We have now done most of the work needed to construct an infinite family of covers over a given base.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let $B\subset X$ be a closed surface, embedded topologically locally flatly in X and such that $\pi_1(X-B;x_0)\cong\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Since X is indefinite and its second Betti number is positive, such a surface exists.

Following the steps of the proof of Theorem 1.5, we embed a two-sphere $S\subset S^4$ locally flatly except for one singularity of type α; next, we construct a p-fold irregular dihedral cover of the pair $(X,B)\#(S^4,S)\cong(X,B)$, as in the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Using the same knot α as a singularity, by Lemma 3.6, we can increase the genus of the branching set B to obtain an infinite family of such covers. These covers are distinguished by their Euler characteristics so, in particular, they are pairwise non-homeomorphic. Using knots for which the values of Ξ_p differ, it is possible to obtain covers distinguished by their signatures as well. □

As an immediate consequence of our construction, we have the following.

Corollary 3.7. *Let (σ,χ) be a pair of integers which satisfy Equations 1.2 and 1.1 for some given X, B, α and p, where p is an odd prime and α a two-bridge slice knot. Then, if $\chi'=\chi+(p-1)k$ for a natural number k, there exists a manifold Y' which is homeomorphic to a p-fold irregular dihedral cover of X and satisfies $\sigma(Y')=\sigma$, $\chi(Y')=\chi'$. Moreover, if $\pi_1(X-B,x_0)\cong\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, Y is simply-connected.*

Finally, we prove Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. (=>)If Y is homeomorphic to a dihedral p-fold cover of X with the specified branching data, by Theorem 1.4, the Euler characteristic and signature of Y satisfy Equations 1.2 and 1.1.

(<=) Assume the Euler characteristic and signature of Y satisfy Equations 1.2 and 1.1. We will construct a branched cover of X with the specified branching set and prove that this cover is homeomorphic to Y.

We follow the steps used in the proof of Theorem 1.5 to construct a p-fold irregular dihedral cover of X branched over a surface $B_1\cong B$ which is embedded in X with a singularity of type α. Call this cover Z. Since α is a two-bridge slice knot, by Theorem 1.5 we know that Z is a simply-connected manifold. We will prove that the intersection form of Z is equivalent to that of Y.



FIGURE 1. The knot $C(e_1, ..., e_6)$. Each square represents a two-strand braid with only positive or only negative twists, according to the sign of e_i. The absolute value of e_i denotes the number of crossings.

Being a dihedral cover of X, Z satisfies the equations set forth in Theorem 1.4. By assumption, Y satisfies these equations as well, so $\sigma(Y) = \sigma(Z)$ and $\chi(Y) = \chi(Z)$. Since Y is a simply-connected four-manifold, the rank of $H_2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ is $\chi(Y) - 2$, and the analogous statment holds for Z. That is, we can conclude that the intersection forms of Y and Z have the same signature and rank. The intersection form of Y is odd indefinite by assumption. The intersection form of Z is also odd and indefinite because by construction Z has a copy of X as a connected summand and X itself is odd indefinite. Therefore, the intersection forms of Y and Z are both indefinite and have the same signature, rank and parity. By Serre's classification of unimodular integral bilinear forms, they are isomorphic.

Finally, since Z is an odd-fold cover of X, the Kirby-Siebenmann invariants of X and Z (cap product with the fundamental class) are equal. Therefore, so are the Kirby-Siebenmann invariants of Z and Y. By Freedman's classification of simply-connected four-manifolds [13], we conclude that Y and Z are homeomorphic. □

APPENDIX A: CHARACTERISTIC KNOTS

Our construction of an infinite family of irregular dihedral p-fold covers of over a given four-manifold (Theorem 1.6) hinges on being able to find two-bridge slice knots which admit such covers. In this section we prove that, for any odd prime p, infinitely many such knots exist. In particular, we exhibit for every p an infinite class of knots for which the necessary condition (Theorem 1.4) for the existence of a dihedral$p$-fold cover over a given base is sharp. As a biproduct, we also illustrate how to find characteristic knots in the two-bridge case.

Recall that Lisca [19] proved that, for two-bridge knots, being slice is equivalent to being ribbon. Previously, Casson and Gordon [8] gave a necessary condition for a two-bridge knot to be ribbon, and Lamm [17] listed all knots satisfying this condition. He found that for all $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$ the knots $K_1(a, b) = C(2a, 2, 2b, -2, -2a, 2b)$ and $K_2(a, b) = C(2a, 2, 2b, 2a, 2, 2b)$ are slice. Figure 2.1 recalls the notation $C(e_1, ..., e_6)$. In Figure 2.2 we give a genus 3 Seifert surface V for the knot $\alpha = C(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6)$. We use the surface V for all subsequent computations.

Since two-bridge slice knots play a key role our construction of dihedral covers of four-manifolds, we determine the values of the parameters a and b for which the knots $K_i(a, b)$ admit three-fold dihedral covers.

Proposition 3.8. *A knot of the type $K_1(a, b)$ admits an irregular three-fold dihedral cover if and only if*



FIGURE 2. A Seifert surface for the knot $C(e_1, ..., e_6)$, together with the set of preferred generators for its first homology.

(1) $a \equiv 0 \mod 3, b \equiv 2 \mod 3$ *or*
(2) $a \equiv 1 \mod 3, b \equiv 1 \mod 3$.
A knot of the type $K_2(a, b)$ *admits an irregular 3-fold dihedral cover if and only if*
(3) $a \equiv 0 \mod 3, b \equiv 1 \mod 3$ *or*
(4) $a \equiv 1 \mod 3, b \equiv 0 \mod 3$.
In these cases, a curve representing the class $\beta \in H_1(V; \mathbb{Z})$ *is a mod* 3 *characteristic knot for the corresponding* $K_i(a, b)$ *if and only if, with respect to the basis* $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4, \omega_5, \omega_6\}$*, we have, respectively,*
(1) $[\beta] \equiv (1, 0, 1, 1, -1, 1) \mod 3$,
(2) $[\beta] \equiv (-1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1) \mod 3$,
(3) $[\beta] \equiv (1, 0, 1, -1, 1, 1) \mod 3$,
(4) $[\beta] \equiv (-1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1) \mod 3$.

Proof. Let V denote the Seifert surface for $C(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6)$ depicted in Figure 2.2. We think of the e_i as being chosen so that the knot $C(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6)$ is of type $K_1(a, b)$ or $K_2(a, b)$. Let L denote the matrix of the linking form on V with respect to the basis $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4, \omega_5, \omega_6\}$. The symmetrized linking form for V in this basis is $L_V = L + L^T$. It equals:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -e_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & e_2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -e_3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & e_4 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -e_5 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & e_6 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is sufficient to check that $\det(L + L^T) \equiv 0 \mod 3$ precisely in situations (1),..., (4). For instance, in the case $C(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6) = K_1(a, b)$, we obtain $\det(L + L^T) = -(8ab + 2b - 1)^2$. So we must solve the equation

$$8ab + 2b - 1 \equiv 0 \mod 3.$$

If $a \equiv 0 \mod 3$, the equation reduces to $2b - 1 \equiv 0 \mod 3$, so $b \equiv 2$. If $a \equiv 1 \mod 3$, then $b \equiv 1 \mod 3$. If $a \equiv 2 \mod 3$, there is no solution. The computations for $K_1(a, b)$ are equally trivial, so they are omitted.

To verify that the classes $[\beta] \in H_1(V;\mathbb{Z})$ listed represent all characteristic knots, it suffices to check that for a and b as specified, we have $(L+L^T)\beta \equiv 0 \mod 3$ and moreover the classes β are the unique solutions mod 3. The arithmetic involved has been left out. □

More generally, we have the following:

Proposition 3.9. *Let $p > 1$ be an odd prime. There exits an infinite family of integer pairs (a, b) such that the two-bridge slice knot $K_1(a, b) \subset S^3$ admits an irregular dihedral p-fold cover, and similarly for $K_2(a, b)$.*

Proof. The case $p = 3$ was treated in Proposition 3.8, so assume $p > 3$. The determinant $D_1(a, b)$ of the Seifert matrix of the knot $K_1(a, b)$ is equal to $-(8ab + 2b - 1)^2$. Setting $a \equiv 0 \mod p$, we find that $D_1(a, b) \equiv 0 \mod p$ if and only if $2b \equiv 1 \mod p$. Since p is odd, a solution exists. Another pair of solutions is $a \equiv 8^{-1} \mod p$ and $b \equiv 3^{-1} \mod p$.

Similarly, we find that the determinant $D_2(a, b)$ of the Seifert matrix of the knot $K_2(a, b)$ is $(8ab + 2a + 2b + 1)^2$. Setting $b \equiv -1 \mod p$, we find that $a \equiv (-6)^{-1} \mod p$. For $p > 3$, this gives a solution. □

For any given p and any family of two-bridge slice knots $K_i(a, b)$ with a and b chosen so that $\det(L + L^T) \equiv 0 \mod p$, the classes in $H_1(V;\mathbb{Z})$ represented by characteristic knots are easily computed as in Proposition 3.8 by solving a system of equations mod p. One can see by direct examination that if $p = 3$ each of these classes can be realized by the unknot. The same methods can be used to find knot types of characteristic knots for all p.

APPENDIX B: COMPUTING LINKING NUMBERS IN BRANCHED COVERS

Let $\alpha \subset S^3$ be a knot, and let $f : M \to S^3$ be a cover branched along α, arising from a presentation $\psi : \pi_1(S^3 - \alpha, x_0) \to S_n$. The linking numbers (when defined) between the various components of $f^{-1}(\alpha)$ constitute a subtle knot invariant studied extensively by Hartley and Murasugi [14], Bankwitz and Schumann [3], Laufer [18] and Perko [25], [26], among others.

In his undergraduate thesis [24], Perko described a procedure for computing linking numbers between branch curves. His method is, to this day, the most efficient and general algorithm known for computing these numbers. We give a very short summary of Perko's method for computing linking numbers in a branched cover. We intend to provide just enough detail to be able to describe a generalization of his algorithm which allows us to calculate the linking numbers of other curves, as needed for evaluating the component of $\Xi_p(\alpha)$ which is expressed in terms of linking. Readers interested in the specifics needed to carry out the procedure will find no better source than [24].

Perko's procedure for computing linking numbers between branch curves in a branched cover $f : M \to S^3$ with branching set α:

(1) Use a diagram for α to endow S^3 with a cell structure. The two-skeleton here is the cone on α, and there is a single three-cell.
(2) Endow the cover M with a cell structure as follows. The cells are the pre-images $f^{-1}(e^j_k)$ of the various cells in S^3. The attaching maps are

determined by the action of the meridians of α on the interiors of those cells.

(3) Compute the boundaries of all two-cells of M. This step is non-trivial for two-cells whose boundary contains one-cells corresponding to "overpassing" arcs in the knot diagram. Such two-cells will accrue additional boundary components determined by the action of meridians of α on the three-cells.
(4) Solve a system of linear equations to determine, for each component α_i of $f^{-1}(\alpha)$, a two-chain with boundary α_i, if such a two-chain exists.
(5) For each pair (α_i, α_j), examine the signed intersection numbers of α_i with a two-chain, found in (4), whose boundary is α_j. This gives $lk(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$. We note that, in practice, the intersection number of any one-cell with any two-cell is trivial to read off from the data examined in order to complete (3), so this final step of the computation poses no further difficulty.

In order to compute the linking numbers of other curves in M, we introduce an appropriate subdivision of the cell structure described by Perko. Consider a curve $\gamma \subset (S^3 - \alpha)$ whose lifts to M are of interest. We use the cone on $\alpha \cup \gamma$ to form the two-skeleton of S^3. In order to be able to lift this new cell structure to a cell structure on M, we treat γ as a "pseudo-branch curve" of the map f. That is, we think of the presentation $\pi_1(S^3 - \alpha) \to S_n$ as a presentation $\pi_1(S^3 - (\alpha \cup \gamma)) \to S_n$ in which meridians of γ map to the trivial permutation. Naturally, this can be done for multiple curves γ_i simultaneously. With this set up, linking numbers can be computed by following steps (3), (4) and (5) above.

References

1. Selman Akbulut and Robion Kirby, *Branched covers of surfaces in 4-manifolds*, Mathematische Annalen **252** (1980), no. 2, 111–131.
2. James Alexander, *Note on Riemann spaces*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **26** (1920), no. 8, 370–372.
3. Carl Bankwitz and Hans Georg Schumann, *Über viergeflechte*, Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg, vol. 10, Springer, 1934, pp. 263–284.
4. Israel Berstein and Allan Edmonds, *The degree and branch set of a branched covering*, Inventiones Mathematicae **45** (1978), no. 3, 213–220.
5. Sylvain Cappell and Julius Shaneson, *Branched cyclic coverings*, Knots, Groups, and 3-Manifolds (1975), 165–173.
6. ———, *Invariants of 3-manifolds*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **81** (1975), no. 3, 559–562.
7. ———, *Linking numbers in branched covers*, Contemporary Mathematics **35** (1984).
8. Andrew Casson and Cameron Gordon, *Cobordism of classical knots*, Progress in Math **62** (1986), 181–199.
9. Ralph Fox, *Covering spaces with singularities*, Algebraic Geometry and Topology: A Symposium in Honor of S. Lefschetz, 1957, pp. 243–257.
10. ———, *A quick trip through knot theory*, Topology of 3-manifolds and related topics **3** (1962), 120–167.
11. ———, *Metacyclic invariants of knots and links*, Canad. J. Math **22** (1970), 193–201.
12. Ralph Fox and John Milnor, *Singularities of 2-spheres in 4-space and cobordism of knots*, Osaka Journal of Mathematics **3** (1966), no. 2, 257–267.
13. Michael Freedman, *The topology of four-dimensional manifolds*, Journal of Differential Geometry **17** (1982), no. 3, 357–453.
14. Richard Hartley and Kunio Murasugi, *Covering linkage invariants*, Canad. J. Math **29** (1977), 1312–1339.
15. Hugh Hilden, *Every closed orientable 3-manifold is a 3-fold branched covering space of S^3*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **80** (1974), no. 6, 1243–1244.
16. Massimiliano Iori and Riccardo Piergallini, *4-manifolds as covers of the 4-sphere branched over non-singular surfaces*, Geometry and Topology **6** (2002), no. 1, 393–401.
17. Christoph Lamm, *Symmetric unions and ribbon knots*, Osaka Journal of Mathematics **37** (2000), no. 3, 537–550.
18. Henry B Laufer, *Some numerical link invariants*, Topology **10** (1971), no. 2, 119–131.
19. Paolo Lisca, *Lens spaces, rational balls and the ribbon conjecture*, arXiv preprint math/0701610 (2007).
20. José María Montesinos, *A representation of closed orientable 3-manifolds as 3-fold branched coverings of S^3*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **80** (1974), no. 5, 845–846.
21. ———, *4-manifolds, 3-fold covering spaces and ribbons*, Transactions of the American mathematical society **245** (1978), 453–467.
22. John W Morgan and Hyman Bass, *The smith conjecture*, (1984).
23. Sergei Novikov, *Pontrjagin classes, the fundamental group and some problems of stable algebra*, Essays on Topology and Related Topics (1970), 147–155.
24. Kenneth Perko, *An invariant of certain knots*, Undergraduate Thesis, Princeton University (1964).
25. ———, *On the classification of knots*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc **45** (1974), 262–266.
26. ———, *On dihedral covering spaces of knots*, Inventiones mathematicae **34** (1976), no. 2, 77–82.
27. Riccardo Piergallini, *Four-manifolds as 4-fold branched covers of S^4*, Topology **34** (1995), no. 3, 497–508.
28. Riccardo Piergallini and Daniele Zuddas, *Wild branching surfaces and topological 4-manifolds*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.07459 (2016).
29. Dale Rolfsen, *Knots and links*, vol. 346, American Mathematical Soc., 1976.
30. Andrew G Tristram, *Some cobordism invariants for links*, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, vol. 66, Cambridge Univ Press, 1969, pp. 251–264.
31. Oleg Viro, *Signature of a branched covering*, Mathematical Notes **36** (1984), no. 4, 772–776.

Department of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin 53706

E-mail address: kjuchukova@math.wisc.edu